

1 BIRNBERG & ASSOCIATES
 2 CORY A. BIRNBERG (SBN 105468)
 3 703 Market Street Suite 600
 4 San Francisco, California 94103
 5 Telephone Number: (415) 398-1040
 6 Facsimile Number: (415) 398-2001

7 Barbara Norris (Ak. No. 8506070)
 8 LAW OFFICES OF BARBARA NORRIS
 9 645 W. Third Ave.
 10 Anchorage Alaska 99501
 11 Telephone Number: (907) 279-6621
 12 Facsimile Number: (907) 279-0199

13 Attorneys for Defendants
 14 Woodbine Alaska Fish Company,
 15 and Guy Ferrari Inc.

16

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ALASKA**

<p>16 CITICAPITAL COMMERCIAL CORP,) 17 Plaintiff,) 18 v.) 19 EGEGIK SPIRIT, official number 2999957,) 20 her equipment, gear, furniture, apparel,) 21 fixtures, tackle, boats, machinery, anchors) 22 and all appurtenances, <u>in rem</u>;) 23 NAKNEK SPIRIT, official number 585824,) 24 her equipment, gear, furniture, apparel,) 25 fixtures, tackle, boats, machinery, anchors) 26 and all appurtenances, <u>in rem</u>;) 27 WOODBINE ALASKA FISH CO.,) 28 <u>in personam</u>; and GUY FERRARI, INC,) 29 <u>in personam</u>.) 30 Defendants,) 31 WOODBINE ALASKA FISH CO.,) 32 <u>in personam</u>; and GUY FERRARI, INC,) 33 <u>in personam</u>.) 34 Cross-Complainant) 35 v.) 36 CITICAPITAL COMMERCIAL CORP) 37 Cross-Defendant) 38)</p>	<p>Case No. A-04-0147 CI IN ADMIRALTY</p> <p>FIRST AMENDED COUNTER CLAIM OF WOODBINE ALASKA FISH CO., AND GUY FERRARI INC.</p>
--	--

FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

COUNTERCLAIM

Cross-Complainant WOODBINE ALASKA FISH COMPANY, INC. and GUY FERRARI, INC. allege against CITICAPITAL COMMERCIAL CORP., as follows:

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Cross-Complainant WOODBINE ALASKA FISH COMPANY, INC
(hereinafter "Woodbine") is and at all times relevant herein mentioned was an Alaskan Corporation.

2. Cross-Complainant GUY FERRARI, INC. (hereinafter "GFI") is and at all times relevant herein was a California corporation doing business in the State of Alaska.

3. Cross-Defendant CITICAPITAL COMMERCIAL CORP. (hereinafter “CitiCapital”) is and at all times relevant herein mentioned was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas and has voluntarily submitted to the jurisdiction of this court.

4. On or about July 17, 2004, CitiCapital, allegedly acting pursuant to a preferred ship mortgage [“note”], arrested the vessels NAKNEK SPIRIT, and the EGEGIK SPIRIT [“the vessels”].

5. When the vessels were arrested Woodbine had paid the note down to about \$334 000 and was in arrears on the note in the sum of \$43 940 82

6. As to all causes of action herein pled, the Cross-Complainants have suffered the damages alleged in paragraphs 7-9 below as a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's conduct:

7. Woodbine traditionally buys a significant portion of its product after July 17th each year, considered the “Fall Fishery.” Without the use of the arrested vessels

1 Woodbine missed its traditional Fall Fisheries, and therefore lost profits on the associated
 2 products. In addition, Woodbine hired an additional tender (the BRUIN BAY) to do its
 3 freight hauling, etc., at an additional expense of \$2,000 per day while the vessels remained
 4 under arrest. Woodbine paid its crew for a week while CitiCapital's attorney pretended to
 5 be negotiating in good faith. Arrangements were made to send the crew home, and
 6 CitiCapital interfered with Woodbine's relationship with its crew, and hired them away
 7 from Woodbine.

8 8. Woodbine lost profits in an amount in the approximate sum as follows:

9 Average for the past 3 seasons is:

10 1,432,918 lbs @ .75 recovery = 1,074,688 lbs net @ \$1.45/lb. = **\$1,558,298**

11 **Less COSTS**

Fish Cost @ .40/lb	= \$573,167
Taxes	22,927

Tender costs	75,000
Labor costs	214,937
Package/freight-paid	

NET LOSS	\$ 672,267
Plus tender cost	

Bruin Bay	\$ 36,000
------------------	------------------

TOTAL	\$ 708,267
--------------	-------------------

12 9. Woodbine suffered an additional loss for the crew that was standing by, as
 13 well as additional losses for Kuskokwim as follows. Traditionally, Woodbine purchased in
 14 the range of 350,000 – 750,000 lbs of Coho in the Kuskokwim during this time period as
 15 well.

16 Based on this range, average of 500,000 lbs of Coho, the following formula would
 17 be: 500,000 lbs @ .75 recovery = 375,000 lbs net @ 1.25/lb. = \$468,750.00

18 Fish Cost @ .25/lb = \$125,000
 19 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

1 Taxes 5,000
 2 Tender Costs (incl)
 2 Labor (incl)
 3 Package/freight-paid

4 **NET LOSS**

5 **\$338,750 Kuskokwim**

6 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT**

7 10. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 8 paragraphs 1 through 9 of this cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

9 11. On or about July 24, 2004, Woodbine, by and through its representative,
 10 Virginia Ferrari, entered into a contract with CitiCapital, by and through its
 11 representative Mark Manning whereby, in exchange and in consideration for Woodbine
 12 obtaining insurance on the vessels, and making up the arrearages from fish sale proceeds,
 13 CitiCapital agreed to release the vessels. Woodbine and CitiCapital, by and through the
 14 aforementioned representatives, agreed to these terms.

16 12. Cross-Complainants have performed all conditions, covenants, and
 17 promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and
 18 conditions of the contract.

20 13. On or about July 25, 2004, CitiCapital breached the contract when it
 21 refused to release the vessels, instead demanding additional terms and payments beyond
 22 the contract.

23 14. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to the agreement, that
 24 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 25 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 26 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 27 with the vessel being detained.

28 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES

703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

1 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 2 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.

3 15. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's breach of
 4 contract, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the
 5 abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

7 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT**

8 16. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 9 paragraphs 1 through 15 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

10 17. On or about July 25, 2004, Woodbine, by and through its representative,
 11 Virginia Ferrari, entered into a contract with CitiCapital, by and through its
 12 representative Mark Manning whereby, in exchange and in consideration for Woodbine
 13 paying the sum of \$43,940.82, the total amount of the arrearages, CitiCapital agreed to
 14 release the vessels. Woodbine and CitiCapital, by and through the aforementioned
 15 representatives, agreed to these terms.

16 18. Cross-Complainants have performed all conditions, covenants, and
 17 promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and
 18 conditions of the contract.

19 19. On or about July 26, 2004, CitiCapital breached the contract when it
 20 refused to release the vessels, instead demanding additional terms beyond the contract.

21 20. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to the agreement, that
 22 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 23 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 24 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 25 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 26 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES

703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

1 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 2 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.

3 21. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's breach of
 4 contract, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the
 5 abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

7 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT**

8 22. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 9 paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

10 23. On or about July 26, 2004, Woodbine, by and through its representative,
 11 Virginia Ferrari, entered into a contract with CitiCapital, by and through its
 12 representative Mark Manning, whereby, in exchange and in consideration for Woodbine
 13 making a payment of the sum of \$79,487.65, allegedly representing \$43,940.82
 14 (principal), \$9,866.57, in late fees and \$25,660 in interest, and obtaining insurance
 15 coverage for the vessel, CitiCapital agreed to release the vessels. Woodbine and
 16 CitiCapital, by and through the aforementioned representatives, agreed to these terms.

17 24. Cross-Complainants have performed all conditions, covenants, and
 18 promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and
 19 conditions of the contract.

20 25. On or about July 26, 2004, CitiCapital breached the contract when it
 21 refused to release the vessels, instead demanding additional terms beyond the contract.

22 26. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to the agreement, that
 23 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 24 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 25 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 26 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 27 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.

28 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES

703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

1 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 2 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.

3 27. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's breach of
 4 contract, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the
 5 abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

7 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT**

8 28. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 9 paragraphs 1 through 27 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

10 29. On or about August 1, 2004, Woodbine, by and through its representative,
 11 Cory A. Birnberg, entered into a contract with CitiCapital, by and through its
 12 representative Mark Manning, whereby, in exchange and in consideration for Woodbine
 13 making a payment of the sum of \$125,000 and obtaining insurance coverage for the
 14 vessels, CitiCapital agreed to release the vessels. Woodbine and CitiCapital, by and
 15 through the aforementioned representatives, agreed to these terms.

16 30. On or about August 5, 2004, Cross-Complainants offered to perform their
 17 obligations under the agreement in good faith and unconditionally by tendering the
 18 \$125,000.00, and submitting proof of obtaining insurance coverage for the vessels, and
 19 demanded that CitiCapital perform the obligations on its part by releasing the vessels.

20 31. Cross-Complainants have performed all conditions, covenants, and
 21 promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and
 22 conditions of the contract.

23 32. On or about August 5, 2004 CitiCapital breached the oral agreement by
 24 refusing to release the vessels, instead demanding additional terms beyond the contract.

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES
703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

1 33. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to the agreement, that
 2 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 3 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 4 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 5 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 6 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.
 7

8 34. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's breach of
 9 contract, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the
 10 abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

11 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT**

12 35. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 13 paragraphs 1 through 34 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

14 36. On or about August 6, 2004, Woodbine, by and through its representative,
 15 Cory A. Birnberg, entered into a contract with CitiCapital, by and through its
 16 representative Mark Manning whereby, in exchange and in consideration for Woodbine
 17 making a payment of the sum of \$125,000 and obtaining excess insurance coverage for
 18 the vessels, CitiCapital agreed to release the vessels. Woodbine and CitiCapital, by and
 19 through the aforementioned representatives, agreed to these terms.
 20

21 37. Cross-Complainants have performed all conditions, covenants, and
 22 promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and
 23 conditions of the contract.

24 38. On or about August 10, 2004 CitiCapital breached the contract by refusing
 25 to release the vessels, instead demanding additional terms beyond the contract.
 26

27 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

1 39. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to the agreement, that
 2 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 3 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 4 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 5 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 6 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.
 7

8 40. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's breach of
 9 contract, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the
 10 abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

11 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT**

12 41. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 13 paragraphs 1 through 40 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

14 42. On or about August 10, 2004, Woodbine, by and through its
 15 representative, Cory A. Birnberg, entered into a contract with CitiCapital, by and through
 16 its representative Mark Manning whereby, in exchange and in consideration for
 17 Woodbine making a payment of the sum of \$125,000, obtaining excess insurance
 18 coverage for the vessels, and submitting a proposed business plan, CitiCapital agreed to
 19 release the vessels. Woodbine and CitiCapital, by and through the aforementioned
 20 representatives, agreed to these terms.

21 43. Cross-Complainants have performed all conditions, covenants, and
 22 promises required on their part to be performed in accordance with the terms and
 23 conditions of the contract.

24 44. On or about August 12, 2004 CitiCapital breached the contract by refusing
 25 to release the vessels, instead demanding additional terms beyond the contract.

26 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES

703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

1 45. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to the agreement, that
 2 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 3 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 4 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 5 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 6 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.
 7

8 46. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's breach of
 9 contract, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the
 10 abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

11 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION – BAD FAITH BREACH OF CONTRACT**

12 47. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 13 paragraphs 1 through 46 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

14 48. CitiCapital took advantage of the arrest of the vessels to extract more
 15 money from Cross-Complainants than was due for arrearages of \$43,940.82, asking for
 16 \$79,487.65 and then \$125,000, before the note was accelerated, constantly increasing the
 17 amount that it would take, with no relational relationship to the amount of the arrearages
 18 actually due on the note. Cross-Complainants were desperate to recommence fishing
 19 operations, and had no choice but to agree to CitiCapital's increasingly exorbitant
 20 demands.

21 49. CitiCapital's conduct in failing to honor each of the aforementioned
 22 contracts, was willful, malicious, and oppressive, and done with the intent to permanently
 23 deprive Cross-Complainants of their property, and/or with a conscious disregard of the
 24 risk that such conduct, could cause a cessation of Cross-Complainant's business
 25 operations.

26 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

1 50. Cross-Complainants seek punitive damages against CitiCapital for the
 2 conscious and willful deprivation of their property.

3 **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION – WRONGFUL FAILURE TO RELEASE
 4 VESSELS**

5 51. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in
 6 paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Cross-complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

7 52. When the vessels were arrested on July 17, 2004, Woodbine had paid the
 8 note down to about \$334,000 and was in arrears on the note in the sum of \$43,940.82.

9 53. Cross-Complainants informed CitiCapital, prior to any negotiations, that
 10 Cross-Complainants needed the vessels to operate its fishing business, and that every day
 11 that went by without the vessels being released would cause them to incur tremendous
 12 lost profits, costs associated with having a crew standing by, and other losses associated
 13 with an abrupt cessation of operations during what would otherwise be the most lucrative
 14 time of the year for Cross-Complainants.

15 54. On or about July 24, 2004, Cross-Complainants agreed to obtain insurance
 16 coverage and pay arrearages from the proceeds of fish sales in exchange for CitiCapital's
 17 release of the vessels. CitiCapital refused to honor the agreement.

18 55. On or about July 25, 2004, Cross-Complainants agreed to pay arrearages up
 19 front in exchange for CitiCapital's release of the vessels. CitiCapital refused to honor the
 20 agreement.

21 56. On or about July 26, 2004, Cross-Complainants agreed to pay \$79,487.65
 22 and obtain insurance coverage in exchange for CitiCapital's release of the vessels.
 23 CitiCapital refused to honor the agreement.

1 57. On or about August 1, 2004, Cross-Complainants agreed to pay \$125,000
 2 and obtain insurance coverage in exchange for CitiCapital's release of the vessels.
 3 CitiCapital refused to honor the agreement.

4 58. On or about August 6, 2004, Cross-Complainants agreed to pay \$125,000
 5 and obtain excess insurance coverage in exchange for CitiCapital's release of the vessels.
 6 CitiCapital refused to honor the agreement.

7 59. On or about August 6, 2004, Cross-Complainants agreed to pay \$125,000,
 8 obtain excess insurance coverage, and submit a proposed business plan in exchange for
 9 CitiCapital's release of the vessels. CitiCapital refused to honor the agreement.

10 60. Cross-Complainants are informed and believe that the terms of these
 11 agreements were not properly conveyed from counsel for CitiCapital to the correct
 12 decisions-maker at CitiCapital, and that CitiCapital was acting in bad faith. Cross-
 13 Complainants are informed and believe that CitiCapital had no intention of ever releasing
 14 the vessels, despite being fully aware that the income, profitability, and ongoing business
 15 of Woodbine depended upon the functioning of the tenders in buying fish, and that once
 16 the tenders were arrested by CitiCapital, Woodbine could not buy any fish and was
 17 essentially out of business.

18 61. Based upon either the surveys of Cross-Complainants, already on file
 19 in this action, or the surveys produced by CitiCapital, the arrest of one vessel was
 20 sufficient security for the amount of the arrearages of \$43,940.82. The failure of
 21 CitiCapital to release both vessels was therefore wrongful and in bad faith.

22 62. The failure to release both vessels for the outstanding obligations of
 23 Cross-Complainants was wrongful, malicious, and designed to harm Cross-
 24 Complainants and each of them, and was done with conscious disregard of the risk

25 FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

that it could result in the cessation of Woodbine's entire fishing operations and/or with intent to harm Cross-Complainants.

63. Concurrently with negotiating and making the first four aforementioned agreements, CitiCapital was drafting a First Amended Complaint, wherein CitiCapital accelerated the entire balance due on the note, and CitiCapital therefore never intended to act in good faith in honoring those agreements.

64. As a further result of, and proximately caused by, CitiCapital's wrongful failure to release the vessels, Cross-Complainants have suffered consequential damages as a result of the abrupt cessation of operations, in an amount according to proof.

65. As a result of CitiCapital's wrongful failure to release the vessels, Cross-Complaints have been damaged in a sum in no less than \$1.2 million in lost profits, crew fees, and charges.

66. Cross-Complainants seek punitive damages against CitiCapital for the conscious and willful deprivation of their property.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION – BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

67. Cross-Claimants repeat and reallege each of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 66 of this Complaint as if each was more fully set forth herein.

68. Cross-Complainants are informed and believe that the terms of these agreements were not properly conveyed from counsel for CitiCapital to the correct decisions-maker at CitiCapital, and that CitiCapital was acting in bad faith.

69. Cross-Complainants are informed and believe that CitiCapital had no intention of ever releasing the vessels, and that during negotiations and the first four agreements to release the vessels, CitiCapital was drafting a First Amended Complaint,

1 wherein CitiCapital accelerated the entire balance due on the note. CitiCapital therefore
 2 never ever intended to release the vessels as agreed, or act in good faith pursuant to its
 3 agreements.

4 70. The actions of CitiCapital, set forth hereinabove, in consciously and
 5 deliberately acting unfairly and frustrating the agreed common purpose of the contracts and
 6 disappointing the reasonable expectations of Cross-Complainants, constitute a violation of
 7 the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in the underlying note and in
 8 each of the contracts alleged above.

9 71. CitiCapital's actions, in violating the implied covenant of good faith and fair
 10 dealing, have directly and proximately caused Cross-Complainants to suffer damages in an
 11 amount according to proof.

12 **WHEREFORE**, Cross-Complainants pray for relief from CitiCapital, as follows:

13 1. For general damages in a sum within the jurisdiction of this Court and in an
 14 amount to be determined at trial;

15 2. For special damages including but not limited to the cost of goods and
 16 services Cross-Complainants became liable for as consequence of CitiCapital's conduct,
 17 and other incidental and consequential damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;

18 3. For lost profits in an amount to be determined at trial;

19 4. For punitive damages in an amount according to proof;

20 5. For other damages not yet ascertained, in an amount to be determined at
 21 trial;

22 6. For costs of suit herein incurred and prejudgment interest;

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES
703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

7. For all such additional relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: 17 April 2006

BIRNBERG & ASSOCIATES

By: s/Cory Birnberg
Cory A. Birnberg

BIRNBERG & ASSOCIATES
CORY A. BIRNBERG
703 Market Street Suite 600
San Francisco, California 94103
Telephone Number: (415) 398-1040
Facsimile Number: (415) 398-2001
Email: birnberg@birnberg.com
California State Bar No. 105468
Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

BIRNBERG &
ASSOCIATES

703 MARKET STREET
SUITE 600
SAN FRANCISCO
CA, 94103
TEL (415) 398-1040
FAX (415) 398-2001

FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM